

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/888,096		Applicant(s) WENZL, LAUREN B.	
	Examiner Li B. Zhen		Art Unit 2194	
	Status of Application: <u>Amendment - After Non-Final Rejection</u>			

All Participants:

(1) Justin Liu (Reg. No. 51,959).

(2) Li B. Zhen.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC § 112, second paragraph, for insufficient antecedent basis to the limitation "the second device" (claim 12, line 5).

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted that the claims are allowable over the art of record; however, claim 12 (line 5) recites the limitation "the second device" which lacks antecedent basis. Applicant authorizes examiner to change the limitation to "a second device" to provide proper antecedent basis in an examiner's amendment.